



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

First Named

Inventor : Baowei KANG

Appln. No. : 10/017,734

Filed : December 18, 2001

Title : POWER SEMICONDUCTOR SWITCHING
DEVICES WITH LOW POWER LOSS AND
METHOD FOR FABRICATING THE
SAME

Docket No. : B784.312-1

Group Art Unit: 2823

Examiner:
Khiem D. Nguyen

RESPONSE

Mail Stop RCE
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

SENT VIA EXPRESS MAIL

Express Mail No.: EV 331789706 US

Sir:

This Response is submitted with a Request for Continuing Examination (RCE), and is in response to the Advisory Action mailed March 4, 2004, in which claims 2-6 and 8-14 were pending. In the Advisory Action, claims 2-6 and 8-14 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Francis et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,482,681). It is respectfully submitted that all of pending claims 2-6 and 8-14 are in condition for allowance. Reconsideration and notice to that effect is respectfully requested.

To establish *prima facie* obviousness of a claimed invention, all the claim limitations must be taught or suggested by the prior art. MPEP 2143.03; *In re Royka*, 490 F.2d 981 (CCPA 1974). As discussed in section I below, independent claims 6 and 9 require fabricating a device including a diffused n⁺ buffer layer formed on a backside of the wafer, which is not disclosed, taught or suggested by the cited prior art (Francis et al.). Rather, Francis et al. teach the formation of the n⁺ buffer layer via hydrogen implantation. In addition, *prima facie* obviousness can only be established by modifying a prior art reference when there is some suggestion or motivation, either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the

art, to modify the reference. MPEP 2143.01; *In re Kotzab*, 217 F.3d 1365 (Fed. Cir. 2000). In this case, the Examiner contended that it would have been obvious to modify the teachings of Francis et al. to (a) perform the step of forming the n^+ buffer layer by diffusion rather than by hydrogen implantation as taught, and to (b) perform the step of diffusing the N^+ layer on the backside of the wafer in the first step rather than in the last or next-to-last step as taught. A particular claimed order of performing the steps of a process can be shown to be nonobvious if new or unexpected results are achieved. See *In re Burhans*, 154 F.2d 690 (CCPA 1946). As discussed in section II below, the order of the fabrication steps for the low power loss semiconductor switching device of the present invention (forming a diffused n^+ layer on the backside of the wafer in the first step, as recited in independent claims 6 and 9) produces new and superior results over the cited prior art (Francis et al.), and is therefore a nonobvious distinction over the prior art.

I. Francis et al. do not disclose, teach or suggest forming a *diffused* n^+ layer

Independent claims 6 and 9 require fabricating a device including a diffused n^+ buffer layer formed on a backside of the wafer. Francis et al. fail to show, teach, or suggest forming a diffused n^+ buffer layer on a backside of the wafer. Rather, Francis et al. teach the formation of the n^+ buffer layer via hydrogen implantation.

A diffused n^+ buffer layer provides several advantages over an n^+ buffer layer formed via hydrogen implantation. First, the diffusion of the n^+ buffer layer can be performed on many wafers at the same time, while hydrogen implantation must be performed on each individual wafer. Hydrogen implantation thus reduces productivity and increases fabrication costs compared to diffusion. Furthermore, hydrogen implantation typically has a lower ion beam current (or flux) than that of other n-type dopant ion implantations such as P or As, which further slows down production.

Diffusion of the n^+ buffer layer according to the procedure of the present invention also provides high controllability of the fabrication process. In particular, the diffusion of the n^+ buffer layer according to the procedure of the present invention introduces one main shallow donor, which provides a controllable doping concentration. On the other hand, hydrogen implantation in silicon combined with low temperature annealing (300-500°C), as taught by Francis et al., is not as controllable. Hydrogen implantation (or proton irradiation), combined with low temperature

annealing, provides hydrogen-related shallow donors, which produces n-type doping in the buffer layer. However, it has been shown that *three* shallow level distributions are introduced during hydrogen implantation in silicon during low temperature annealing. *Mat. Sci. Eng.*, B58:108-112 (1999). Furthermore, when the fluence (or dose) of hydrogen ions is increased to greater than or equal to $5 \times 10^{12} \text{ cm}^{-2}$, only two shallow level distributions are clearly shown. *IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci.*, Vol. 41, No. 6, 1932-1936 (1994). Accordingly, different doses of hydrogen ions produce a different number of donor levels, resulting in unexpected doping concentrations. Also, the defects which act as shallow donors in hydrogen-implanted silicon can compromise the original doping concentration of the buffer layer. *Id.* Thus, hydrogen implantation combined with low temperature annealing is a less controllable and reliable procedure than the procedure of the present invention.

Finally, it has been found that defects which act as shallow donors in hydrogen-implanted silicon can change reversibly. *Nucl. Inst. Meth.*, 209/210 (1983) 677-682. More specifically, when the device temperature changes from more than 300°C (annealing temperature) to lower than 100°C (common operating temperature of power devices), the equilibrium concentration of shallow donors (or, doping concentration of the n-type buffer layer) decreases, and vice versa. The variation with temperature of the equilibrium concentration of shallow donors means that the n-type doping of the buffer layer is not stable in a temperature-varying situation (which is common for power devices). All of these effects of hydrogen implantation combined detrimentally affect the controllability of the fabricating process and the performance stability and reliability of the IGBT as a power device.

There is some disclosure in the Francis et al. patent that a "punch-through IGBT can also [be] created using a diffused wafer." Column 5, lines 47-48. However, this disclosure is characterized as "difficult if not impossible to control" (column 5, lines 65) and would therefore teach one skilled in the art not to use a diffused wafer, but instead use a hydrogen implanted wafer, as explicitly set forth at column 6, lines 3-6.

The above evidence of new and superior results of the claimed diffused n^+ layer over the hydrogen implanted layer taught by the prior art shows that the teachings of the prior art are highly dissimilar to the claimed invention, and that it therefore would not have been obvious to

modify the hydrogen implantation teachings of the prior art without an explicit suggestion or motivation to do so. Such a suggestion or motivation has not been found, either in the Francis et al. patent or elsewhere in the prior art (the only suggestion in the Francis et al. patent actually teaches away from such a modification). As such, the rejection of independent claims 6 and 9 under 35 U.S.C. § 103 should be withdrawn.

II. The claimed order of steps produces new and superior results to Francis et al.

Independent claims 6 and 9 require fabricating from a uniformly-doped monocrystalline n⁻ starting wafer a nonuniformly doped n-type substrate which contains an n⁻ layer on a frontside of the wafer and a diffused n⁺ layer on a backside of the wafer, wherein the diffused n⁺ layer is formed in the first step: The diffused layer is formed in the first step of this procedure by heavily diffusing certain n-type dopants into both sides of an n⁻ substrate at the same time. One (on the final frontside) of the two diffused layers is then removed by grinding, and the exposed surface of the n⁻ substrate is further ground and polished to a proper position according to the required voltage rating of the device, thus the nonuniformly-doped substrate containing a diffused n⁺ layer (on the final backside) is achieved. As is well known, the doping concentration decays from the backside to the frontside.

Francis et al. teach the formation of an insulated gate bipolar transistor (IGBT) including an n⁺ buffer layer and a weak backside emitter formed on an n⁻ monocrystalline (normally FZ) wafer (i.e., non-epi). In particular, Francis et al. teach that a diffused wafer can be used to create a punch-through IGBT, with the n⁺ buffer being diffused *just prior* to complete backside thinning of the wafer. *See* col. 5, lines 51-55. This step is performed *after* the frontside structure of the wafer has been formed. According to Francis et al., the formation of the IGBT device, and in particular the intersection of the P⁺ region and the N⁺ gradient curve, using present day equipment, is too variable to precisely control the forward voltage drop (V_{ce}) and speed of the device. This problem is solved, according to Francis et al., by forming the n⁺ buffer layer via implantation of hydrogen ions in the bottom of the thinned wafer to control the concentration of the n⁺ buffer at the bottom surface. The implantation of hydrogen ions is performed after thinning of the backside of the wafer.

The diffusion of the n⁺ buffer layer in the first step according to the process of the present invention provides several new and superior advantages over the IGBT fabrication taught by Francis et al. First, in the fabrication sequence taught by Francis et al., the backside n⁺ diffusion must be carried out at a high temperature (more than 1000 °C) for a prolonged period of time (from several tens of minutes to several hours or more, depending on the starting and thinned thicknesses of the wafer). Forming the n⁺ buffer layer *after* fabrication of the frontside structure and/or after thinning of the backside of the wafer, as is taught by Francis et al., is likely to destroy the frontside structure during the high temperature process of the backside n⁺ diffusion. For example, the high temperature process may affect various frontside structures such as the junction depths of the p⁺ body (or p⁺ well) and the n⁻ source region, the channel length, the integrity of the contacts between the silicon and the electrode metal, and the integrity of the top surface passivation layers. In contrast, by fabricating the diffused n⁺ layer in the first step, as is done in the fabrication process of the present invention, adverse effects which may occur to the frontside structure during high temperature processes are avoided. Therefore, the fabrication steps for the low power loss semiconductor switching device of the present invention produces new and superior results over Francis et al.

Therefore, because the order of fabrication steps for the low power loss semiconductor switching device of the present invention produces new and superior results over Francis et al., and Francis et al. does not show, teach, or suggest forming a low power loss semiconductor switching device including a diffused n⁺ layer on a backside of the wafer, the rejections to independent claims 6 and 9 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) should accordingly be withdrawn.

Claims 2-5, 8, and 10-14 were also rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being anticipated by Francis et al. As discussed above, claims 6 and 9 are now in a condition for allowance. Claims 2-5 and 8 depend from allowable claim 6 and claims 10-13 depend from allowable claim 9. These claims are also allowable, since any claim depending from a patentable independent claim is also patentable. *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071 (Fed. Cir. 1988).

First Named Inventor: Baowei KANG

Application No.: 10/017,734

-6-

CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing, all pending claims 2-6 and 8-14 are in condition for allowance. Reconsideration and allowance of all pending claims are respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,
KINNEY & LANGE, P.A.

Date: 3/30/04

By *Al Koenck*
Alan M. Koenck, Reg. No. 43,724
THE KINNEY & LANGE BUILDING
312 South Third Street
Minneapolis, MN 55415-1002
Telephone: (612) 339-1863
Fax: (612) 339-6580

AMK/PGK

 FEET TRANSMITTAL		<i>Complete if Known</i>																																																																																																	
		Application No.		10/017,734																																																																																															
		Filing Date		December 18, 2001																																																																																															
		First Named Inventor		Baowei KANG																																																																																															
		Group Art Unit		2823																																																																																															
		Examiner Name		Khiem D. Nguyen																																																																																															
Total Amount of Payment \$860.00		Atty. Docket Number		B784.312-1																																																																																															
METHOD OF PAYMENT (Check One)		FEET CALCULATION (Continued)																																																																																																	
1. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fee required under 37 C.F.R. 1.16 and 1.17 and credit any over payments to Deposit Account <u>No.11-0982</u> . Deposit Account Name: Kinney & Lange, P.A. A duplicate copy of this communication is enclosed		3. ADDITIONAL FEES <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Large Entity Fee Code</th> <th>Small Entity Fee Code</th> <th>Fee Description</th> <th>Fee paid</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1051</td> <td>130</td> <td>2051 65 Surcharge - Late filing fee or oath</td> <td>—</td> </tr> <tr> <td>1052</td> <td>50</td> <td>2052 25 Surcharge - late provisional filing fee or cover sheet</td> <td>—</td> </tr> <tr> <td>1053</td> <td>130</td> <td>1053 130 Non-English specification</td> <td>—</td> </tr> <tr> <td>1812</td> <td>2,520</td> <td>1812 2,520 For Filing a Request for Reexamination</td> <td>—</td> </tr> <tr> <td>1251</td> <td>110</td> <td>2251 55 Extension for reply within first month</td> <td>—</td> </tr> <tr> <td>1252</td> <td>420</td> <td>2252 210 Extension for reply within second month</td> <td>—</td> </tr> <tr> <td>1253</td> <td>950</td> <td>2253 475 Extension for reply within third month</td> <td>475</td> </tr> <tr> <td>1254</td> <td>1,480</td> <td>2254 740 Extension for reply within fourth month</td> <td>—</td> </tr> <tr> <td>1255</td> <td>2,010</td> <td>2255 1,005 Extension for reply within fifth month</td> <td>—</td> </tr> <tr> <td>1402</td> <td>330</td> <td>2402 165 Filing a brief in support of an appeal</td> <td>—</td> </tr> <tr> <td>1403</td> <td>290</td> <td>2403 145 Request for oral hearing</td> <td>—</td> </tr> <tr> <td>1814</td> <td>110</td> <td>2814 55 Terminal Disclaimer Fee</td> <td>—</td> </tr> <tr> <td>1452</td> <td>110</td> <td>2452 55 Petition to revive - unavoidable</td> <td>—</td> </tr> <tr> <td>1453</td> <td>1,330</td> <td>2453 665 Petition to revive - unintentional</td> <td>—</td> </tr> <tr> <td>1501</td> <td>1,330</td> <td>2501 665 Utility/Reissue issue fee</td> <td>—</td> </tr> <tr> <td>1502</td> <td>480</td> <td>2502 240 Design issue fee</td> <td>—</td> </tr> <tr> <td>1460</td> <td>130</td> <td>1460 130 Petitions to the Commissioner</td> <td>—</td> </tr> <tr> <td>1807</td> <td>50</td> <td>1807 50 Petitions related to provisional applications</td> <td>—</td> </tr> <tr> <td>1806</td> <td>180</td> <td>1806 180 Submission of Information Disclosure Statement</td> <td>—</td> </tr> <tr> <td>8021</td> <td>40</td> <td>8021 40 Recording each patent assignment per property (times number of properties)</td> <td>—</td> </tr> <tr> <td>1801</td> <td>770</td> <td>2801 385 Request for Continued Examination (RCE) <u>385</u></td> <td>—</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="4">Other fee (specify) _____</td> <td colspan="2">Subtotal (3) \$860.00</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>				Large Entity Fee Code	Small Entity Fee Code	Fee Description	Fee paid	1051	130	2051 65 Surcharge - Late filing fee or oath	—	1052	50	2052 25 Surcharge - late provisional filing fee or cover sheet	—	1053	130	1053 130 Non-English specification	—	1812	2,520	1812 2,520 For Filing a Request for Reexamination	—	1251	110	2251 55 Extension for reply within first month	—	1252	420	2252 210 Extension for reply within second month	—	1253	950	2253 475 Extension for reply within third month	475	1254	1,480	2254 740 Extension for reply within fourth month	—	1255	2,010	2255 1,005 Extension for reply within fifth month	—	1402	330	2402 165 Filing a brief in support of an appeal	—	1403	290	2403 145 Request for oral hearing	—	1814	110	2814 55 Terminal Disclaimer Fee	—	1452	110	2452 55 Petition to revive - unavoidable	—	1453	1,330	2453 665 Petition to revive - unintentional	—	1501	1,330	2501 665 Utility/Reissue issue fee	—	1502	480	2502 240 Design issue fee	—	1460	130	1460 130 Petitions to the Commissioner	—	1807	50	1807 50 Petitions related to provisional applications	—	1806	180	1806 180 Submission of Information Disclosure Statement	—	8021	40	8021 40 Recording each patent assignment per property (times number of properties)	—	1801	770	2801 385 Request for Continued Examination (RCE) <u>385</u>	—	Other fee (specify) _____				Subtotal (3) \$860.00	
Large Entity Fee Code	Small Entity Fee Code	Fee Description	Fee paid																																																																																																
1051	130	2051 65 Surcharge - Late filing fee or oath	—																																																																																																
1052	50	2052 25 Surcharge - late provisional filing fee or cover sheet	—																																																																																																
1053	130	1053 130 Non-English specification	—																																																																																																
1812	2,520	1812 2,520 For Filing a Request for Reexamination	—																																																																																																
1251	110	2251 55 Extension for reply within first month	—																																																																																																
1252	420	2252 210 Extension for reply within second month	—																																																																																																
1253	950	2253 475 Extension for reply within third month	475																																																																																																
1254	1,480	2254 740 Extension for reply within fourth month	—																																																																																																
1255	2,010	2255 1,005 Extension for reply within fifth month	—																																																																																																
1402	330	2402 165 Filing a brief in support of an appeal	—																																																																																																
1403	290	2403 145 Request for oral hearing	—																																																																																																
1814	110	2814 55 Terminal Disclaimer Fee	—																																																																																																
1452	110	2452 55 Petition to revive - unavoidable	—																																																																																																
1453	1,330	2453 665 Petition to revive - unintentional	—																																																																																																
1501	1,330	2501 665 Utility/Reissue issue fee	—																																																																																																
1502	480	2502 240 Design issue fee	—																																																																																																
1460	130	1460 130 Petitions to the Commissioner	—																																																																																																
1807	50	1807 50 Petitions related to provisional applications	—																																																																																																
1806	180	1806 180 Submission of Information Disclosure Statement	—																																																																																																
8021	40	8021 40 Recording each patent assignment per property (times number of properties)	—																																																																																																
1801	770	2801 385 Request for Continued Examination (RCE) <u>385</u>	—																																																																																																
Other fee (specify) _____				Subtotal (3) \$860.00																																																																																															
2. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Check Enclosed																																																																																																			
FEET CALCULATION																																																																																																			
1. BASIC FILING FEE <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Large Entity Fee Code</th> <th>Small Entity Fee Code</th> <th>Fee Description</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1001</td> <td>770</td> <td>2001 385 [] Utility Filing Fee</td> </tr> <tr> <td>1002</td> <td>340</td> <td>2002 170 [] Design Filing Fee</td> </tr> <tr> <td>1004</td> <td>770</td> <td>2004 385 [] Reissue Filing Fee</td> </tr> <tr> <td>1005</td> <td>160</td> <td>2005 80 [] Prov. Filing Fee</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="3" style="text-align: right;">Subtotal (1) \$-0-</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>						Large Entity Fee Code	Small Entity Fee Code	Fee Description	1001	770	2001 385 [] Utility Filing Fee	1002	340	2002 170 [] Design Filing Fee	1004	770	2004 385 [] Reissue Filing Fee	1005	160	2005 80 [] Prov. Filing Fee	Subtotal (1) \$-0-																																																																														
Large Entity Fee Code	Small Entity Fee Code	Fee Description																																																																																																	
1001	770	2001 385 [] Utility Filing Fee																																																																																																	
1002	340	2002 170 [] Design Filing Fee																																																																																																	
1004	770	2004 385 [] Reissue Filing Fee																																																																																																	
1005	160	2005 80 [] Prov. Filing Fee																																																																																																	
Subtotal (1) \$-0-																																																																																																			
2. EXTRA CLAIM FEES <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Number Claims</th> <th>Prior</th> <th>Extra</th> <th>Fee from Below</th> <th>Fee Paid</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Total</td> <td>—</td> <td>—</td> <td>=</td> <td>— X — = —</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Indep.</td> <td>—</td> <td>—</td> <td>=</td> <td>— X — = —</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="5">Multiple Dependent Claims — = —</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="6">Insert 3 and 20, or number previously paid if greater; Reissue see below</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>						Number Claims	Prior	Extra	Fee from Below	Fee Paid	Total	—	—	=	— X — = —	Indep.	—	—	=	— X — = —	Multiple Dependent Claims — = —					Insert 3 and 20, or number previously paid if greater; Reissue see below																																																																									
Number Claims	Prior	Extra	Fee from Below	Fee Paid																																																																																															
Total	—	—	=	— X — = —																																																																																															
Indep.	—	—	=	— X — = —																																																																																															
Multiple Dependent Claims — = —																																																																																																			
Insert 3 and 20, or number previously paid if greater; Reissue see below																																																																																																			
<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Large Entity Fee Code</th> <th>Small Entity Fee Code</th> <th>Description</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1202</td> <td>18</td> <td>2202 9 Claims in excess of 20</td> </tr> <tr> <td>1201</td> <td>86</td> <td>2201 43 Independent claims in excess of 3</td> </tr> <tr> <td>1203</td> <td>290</td> <td>2203 145 Multiple Dependent Claim</td> </tr> <tr> <td>1204</td> <td>86</td> <td>2204 43 Reissue Independent Claims Over Original Patent</td> </tr> <tr> <td>1205</td> <td>18</td> <td>2205 9 Reissue claims in excess of 20 and over original patent</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="3" style="text-align: right;">Subtotal (2) \$-0-</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>						Large Entity Fee Code	Small Entity Fee Code	Description	1202	18	2202 9 Claims in excess of 20	1201	86	2201 43 Independent claims in excess of 3	1203	290	2203 145 Multiple Dependent Claim	1204	86	2204 43 Reissue Independent Claims Over Original Patent	1205	18	2205 9 Reissue claims in excess of 20 and over original patent	Subtotal (2) \$-0-																																																																											
Large Entity Fee Code	Small Entity Fee Code	Description																																																																																																	
1202	18	2202 9 Claims in excess of 20																																																																																																	
1201	86	2201 43 Independent claims in excess of 3																																																																																																	
1203	290	2203 145 Multiple Dependent Claim																																																																																																	
1204	86	2204 43 Reissue Independent Claims Over Original Patent																																																																																																	
1205	18	2205 9 Reissue claims in excess of 20 and over original patent																																																																																																	
Subtotal (2) \$-0-																																																																																																			

Signature _____

Alan M. Koenck

Date _____

March 30, 2004

Reg. No. _____

43,724

Deposit Account No. _____

11-0982